

# The Top Ten Worst Decisions by a Body of Nine of Our Leaders



Michael Ceraolo

cc&d 2008 chap

## The Top Ten Worst Decisions by a Body of Nine of Our Leaders

From the home office of freedom  
we bring you tonight  
the Top Ten Worst Supreme Court Decisions:

10. Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad (1886)

Needlessly, but purposely, obscure,  
so obscure that probably not even  
Alex Trebek's crack research staff  
could tell you the details of the case  
Its significant ignominy lies  
in Chief Justice Waite's making explicit  
what had been implicit for several years,  
something that has pervaded and perverted  
the polity to the present day:  
"The court does not wish to hear argument  
on the question whether the provision  
in the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution,  
which forbids a State to deny any person  
within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws,  
applies to corporations  
We are all of the opinion that it does"  
Hey, all together now:  
Corporations are people, too

9. Schenck v. United States (1919)

Oliver Wendell Holmes,  
son of The Autocrat of the Breakfast Table,  
often (mistakenly) called The Great Dissenter,  
in reality more accurately called  
The King of the Weak Analogy:  
“The most stringent protection of free speech  
would not protect a man  
in falsely shouting fire in a theater  
and causing a panic”

Of course

the man who said  
“man’s destiny is to fight”  
failed to say what was false

and

also failed to say what was shouting fire  
in signing a petition,

and

urging other to also sign,  
for the repeal of the draft



7. Bowers v. Hardwick (1986)

Big Brother was peeping in the bedrooms  
of members of Georgia's gay community  
One of the homophobic heat had earlier  
ticketed Michael Hardwick  
for having an open beer bottle outside

And

even though Hardwick had paid the fine,  
the cop was somehow able  
to get an arrest warrant  
for failure to pay the fine,  
legal harassment we should be free from  
The cop pushed open the bedroom door  
and saw Hardwick engaged in  
what the law defined as sodomy  
Hardwick was convicted,

and

the case made its way to the Court  
The majority voted to uphold the conviction,  
and Whizzer White,  
who must have played his football without a helmet,  
cited "the belief of a majority of the electorate in Georgia  
that homosexual sodomy is immoral and unacceptable"  
and that "the law is constantly based  
on notions of morality"  
The lawyer representing Georgia admitted  
that no heterosexual would ever be prosecuted  
under that same law,

and

even after that admission  
equal protection under the law  
was conspicuous by its absence  
One of the majority justices  
repented a few years later  
(after his retirement),

and

people are still being killed  
for being who they are

6. Buck v. Bell (1927)

The King of the Weak Analogy strikes again  
“It is better for all the world,  
if instead of waiting to execute  
degenerate offspring for crime,

or

let them starve for their imbecility,  
society can prevent those  
who are manifestly unfit  
from continuing their kind”  
Of course neither Carrie Buck  
nor the daughter she had borne  
before her forced sterilization  
according to Virginia law  
were anything remotely resembling imbeciles  
The ‘eminent’ man showed once again  
that a strong opinion and an intelligent opinion  
weren’t necessarily synonymous

5. *Loewe v. Lawlor* (1908)

In this case

The Great Dissenter was just another sheep  
unanimously following Fuller's lead  
The United Hatters of North America  
were calling for a boycott  
of the Danbury Hat Company,  
whom they were striking against  
in hopes of winning union recognition  
Dietrich Loewe of the hat company  
sued Martin Lawlor of the union  
for damages under the Sherman Anti-trust Act  
The rhetoric of Social Darwinism  
(never uttered by Darwin himself)  
was ascendant in America at the time,

and

the experience of work,

in general,

and

the dangers of mercury poisoning  
that led them to be called mad hatters,  
in particular,  
were far removed from Fuller's flock  
"Any combination whatever"  
in restraint of trade was illegal,

at least

when allegedly done by a labor union,

and

the justices' economic prejudices  
were thus enshrined as the nation's law

4. United States v. Cruikshank (1876)

Morrison (Light) Waite strikes again  
To celebrate the centennial of America  
the Chief Justice and his co-conspirators  
considered the case of one William Cruikshank,  
who had taken part in the Colfax, Louisiana massacre  
and had been convicted, along with two others,  
of depriving Levi Nelson and Alexander Tillman,  
two black men who had defended the Colfax courthouse  
against the marauding mob of whites,  
of life and liberty without due process of law,

and

of preventing the two men from exercising  
their right to peaceably assemble,  
permanently  
Louisiana had not prosecuted Cruikshank for murder,  
forcing the Federal government to prosecute him  
for depriving the two men of their rights

It was

“no more the duty or within  
the power of the United States”  
to protect its citizens  
when a state failed to do so,

and

thus was created a literal and figurative  
no-man’s land,

actually

a no-black-man’s land,

land

first fenced off forty years earlier



3. Korematsu v. United States (1944)

The 'honor' denied the Witnesses  
was bestowed on Fred Korematsu  
and a hundred thousand others  
"Hardships are a part of war  
and  
war is an aggregation of hardships"  
sounded like more recruiting poster jurisprudence,  
and  
the government's aggregate lies about the 'dangers' posed  
by American citizens of Japanese ancestry  
imposed the hardships of the concentration camp  
"The legalization of racism" ,  
in the words of one dissenter,  
dressed in the clothes of 'military necessity'  
"lies about like a loaded weapon  
ready for the hand of any authority  
that can bring forward a plausible claim of urgent need"  
(in the words of another dissenter)  
Fred Korematsu and the others  
received and apology and some small compensation  
nearly forty years after being so shot at  
The gun is still lying around

2. Plessy v. Ferguson (1896)

Nearly forty years after the Dred Scott debacle,  
and  
thirty years after the Civil War was fought  
and  
the Reconstruction Amendments adopted  
to correct that egregious error,  
the Eight Dwarfs decided,  
with  
only John Marshall Harlan dissenting,  
“We consider the underlying fallacy of the plaintiff’s argument  
to consist in the assumption  
that the enforced separation of the two races  
stamps the colored race with a badge of inferiority  
If this be so,  
it is not by reason  
of anything found in the act,  
but  
solely because the colored race  
chooses to put that construction upon it”,  
saying that inequality was not inequality,  
previewing Orwellian doublespeak fifty years early,  
and  
thus ensuring that yet another American revolution  
would one day be necessary

1. Dred Scott v. Sandford (1857)

The granddaddy of ‘em all  
The Court achieved a perverse perfection,  
managing  
to get everything wrong in this case,  
from  
yet another clerical error  
that misspelled the defendant’s name,  
to  
the important matters of law  
A black was not three-fifths of a person,  
as  
the Constitution said in its original imperfection;  
blacks were  
“regarded as beings of an inferior order  
and  
altogether unfit to associate with the white race,  
either in social or political relations;  
and  
so far inferior that they had no rights  
that the white man was bound to respect”  
Old Hickory’s henchmen had had their say,  
and  
the great genocidal General Jackson was gleeful in his grave,  
where he would very soon be joined  
by several hundred thousand of his countrymen

# The Top Ten Worst Decisions by a Body of Nine of Our Leaders

Michael Ceraolo

scars

published in conjunction with

children  
churches  
& daddies

*the unreligious, nonfamily-oriented literary and art magazine*

ccandd96@scars.tv

ISSN 1068-5154 INTERNET ISSN #1555-1555

ccandd96@scars.tv <http://scars.tv>

*Freedom & Strength Press*

the Copyright for the written pieces is retained by the author  
Design Copyright © 2008 Scars Publications and Design

## other publications from Scars:

**Books:** Hope Chest in the Attic, the Window, Close Cover Before Striking, (Woman.), Autumn Reason, Contents Under Pressure, the Average Guy's Guide (to Feminism), Changing Gears, the Key to Believing, Domestic Blisters, Etc., Oeuvre, Exaro Versus, L'arte, The Other Side, The Boss Lady's Editorials, The Boss Lady's Editorials (2005 Expanded Edition), Duality, Seeing Things Differently, Change/Rearrange, Death Comes in Threes, Moving Performances, Six Eleven, Life at Cafe Aloha, Creams, Rough Mixes, The Entropy Project, The Other Side (2006 Edition), Stop., Sing Your Life, The Beauty and the Destruction, cc&d v167.5 (Writing to Honour & Cherish, editor edition), Blister & Burn (the Kuypers edition), S&M, cc&d v170.5 Distinguished Writings editor edition, Living in Chaos, Silent Screams, Taking It All In, It All Comes Down, Rising to the Surface, Galapagos,

Sulphur and Sawdust, Slate and Marrow, Blister and Burn, Rinse and Repeat, Survive and Thrive, (not so) Warm and Fuzzy, Torture and Triumph, Oh., the Elements, Side A/Side B, Balance, Chaos Theory, Writing To Honour & Cherish, Distinguished Writings, Breaking Silences, Unlocking the Mysteries, the Book of Scars, We The Poets, Life on the Edge, Revealing all your Dirty Little Secrets, Deceit Remains, Charred Remnants, Infamous in our Prime, Anais Nin: an Understanding of her Art, the Electronic Windmill, Changing Woman, the swan road, the Significance of the Frontier, The Svetasvatara Upanishad, Harvest of Gems, the Little Monk, Death in Malaga, Memento Mori, In the Palace of Creation, R.I.P., Bob the Bumble Bee, Remnants and Shadows, I Saw This, the Drive, Thoma at Tea, Crashing Down Nineteenth, Blue Collar Ballet

**Compact Discs:** *Mom's Favorite Vase* the demo tapes, *Kuypers* the final (*MFV Inclusive*), *Weeds and Flowers* the beauty & the desolation, *The Second Axing* Something is Sweating, *The Second Axing* Live in Alaska, *Pettus & Kuypers* Live at Cafe Aloha, *Pointless Orchestra* Rough Mixes, *Kuypers* Seeing Things Differently, *SD/SD* Tick Tock, *Kuypers* Change Rearrange, *Order From Chaos* The Entropy Project, *Kuypers* Six One One, *Kuypers* Stop., *Kuypers* Masterful Performances mp3 CD, *Kuypers* Death Comes in Threes, *Kuypers* Changing Gears, *Kuypers* Dreams, *Kuypers* How Do I Get There?, *Kuypers* Contact • Conflict • Control, *the DMJ Art Connection* the DMJ Art Connection, *Kuypers* Questions in a World Without Answers, *Kuypers* SIN, *Kuypers* WZRD Radio (2 CD set), *Mom's Favorite Vase* and *The Second Axing* These Truths, *assorted artists* String Theory, Oh (audio CD), *Life At The Cafe* (3 CD set), *DMJ Art Connection* Indian Flux, *DMJ Art Connection* Manic Depressive or Something, *Chaotic Radio* Chaotic Radio Week #1, *Chaotic Radio* Chaotic Radio Week #2, *Chaotic Radio* Chaotic Radio Week #3, *Chaotic Radio* Chaotic Radio Week #4, *Chaotic Radio* Chaotic Radio Week #5, *Chaotic Radio* the Chaotic Collection Collection #01-05 (5 CD set) etc. (audio CD, 2 CD set), *Chaotic Elements* (2 CD set), *Chaos in Motion* (6 CD set), *SD/SD* Screetching to a Halt, *P&B* Two for the Price of One, *Kiki, Jake and Haystack* An American Portrait, *Kuypers/the Bastard Trio/Paul Baker/the JoAnne Powlers Trio* Fusion.